

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,260	02/05/2007	Ivo Agner	588.1059	3353
	7590 06/25/201 idson & Kappel, LLC	0	EXAMINER	
485 7th Avenue			TRIEU, THERESA	
14th Floor New York, NY 10018			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11017 10111,111	10010		3748	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/25/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/562,260	AGNER, IVO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Theresa Trieu	3748		

	Theresa Trieu	3748					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 09 June 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.					
1. So The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A on event, however, will the statutory prior for reply expire is Examiner Note: if box 1 is checked, check either box (a) O/MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period act under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a)☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b)☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause				
They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuous canceling a cont	,		ne issues for				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	·····,						
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 							
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b) wil	•					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a				
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but 		•					
See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application if	condition for allowant	de decause.				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
	/Theresa Trieu/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3748					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant asserts that Prendergast fails to teach an angular range of the large circle region of the delivery contour being lengthened, wherein the large circle region is greater than the fall zone. (see Remarks section - page 5). The examiner disagrees

zone (see Remarks section - page 5). The examiner disagrees.

Note that the "large circle region" and "fall zone" of the instant application are equivalent to the precompression region and the pressure/discharge region. Therefore, in a broad reasonable interpretation of the claim language, Prendergast clearly suggest the claimed features as discussed in the Final Rejection mailed on Mar. 29, 2010.